



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,128	01/21/2005	Eng Boon Law	186232/US (461124-98)	6469
75149	7590	10/27/2008		
Dorsey & Whitney LLP US Bank Center 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010			EXAMINER CHAWAN, VIJAY B	
			ART UNIT 2626	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/27/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/523,128	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Vijay B. Chawan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vijay B. Chawan. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Nathan Witzany (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,5-14 and 16-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Voxeo Designer 2.0 in view of Pfeiffer et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the tree structure that is taught or disclosed by Voxeo is not the same as claimed by the applicant as pointed out as described the applicant's specification, this applies to the dialog elements claimed also.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vijay B. Chawan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626	
------------------------------------------------------	--